arXiv:1601.01791v1 [math.CO] 8 Jan 2016

On recognising frame and lifted-graphic matroids

Rong Chen[1], Geoff Whittle[2]

[1]Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University
Fuzhou, P. R. China
[2]School of Mathematics, Statistics and Operations Research
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.

January 11, 2016

[1]

Abstract

We prove that there is no polynomial $p(\cdot)$ with the property that a matroid M can be determined to be either a lifted-graphic or frame matroid using at most $p(|M|)$ rank evaluations. This resolves two conjectures of Geelen, Gerards and Whittle (Quasi-graphic matroids, arXiv:1512.03005v1).

Key Words: frame matroids, lifted-graphic matroids, quasi-graphic matroids.

1 Introduction

In [1], Geelen, Gerards and Whittle make the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1.1. *For any polynomial $p(\cdot)$ there is a frame matroid M such that for any set $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $E(M)$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq p(|E(M)|)$ there is a non-frame matroid M' such that $E(M') = E(M)$ and $r_{M'}(A) = r_M(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Conjecture 1.2. *For any polynomial $p(\cdot)$ there is a lifted-graphic matroid M such that for any set $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $E(M)$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq p(|E(M)|)$ there is a non-lifted-graphic matroid M' such that $E(M') = E(M)$ and $r_{M'}(A) = r_M(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Put in another way, the conjectures assert that, for matroids given by rank oracles, there does not exist a polynomial-time algorithm that determines whether

[1]Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B35.

Emails: rongchen@fzu.edu.cn (R. Chen), geoff.whittle@uvw.ac.nz (G. Whittle).

The projected is supported partially by NSFC (No. 11471076) and a grant from the Marsden Fund of New Zealand.

a matroid is a frame matroid or a lifted-graphic matroid. In this paper we give proofs of both of these conjectures. In fact we prove slightly stronger results, in that we resolve the above conjectures within the class of quasi-graphic matroids.

We devote the remainder of the introduction to clarifying the notions considered above. We begin by recalling material from [1].

Let G be a graph and let M be a matroid. For a vertex v of G we let $\mathrm{loops}_G(v)$ denote the set of loop-edges of G at the vertex v. We say that G is a *framework* for M if

1. $E(G) = E(M)$,
2. $r(E(H)) \leq |V(H)|$ for each component H of G, and
3. for each vertex v of G we have $\mathrm{cl}_M(E(G-v)) \subseteq E(G-v) \cup \mathrm{loops}_G(v)$.

A matroid is *quasi-graphic* if it has a framework. A matroid M is a *lifted-graphic* matroid if there is a matroid M' and an element $e \in E(M')$ such that $M' \backslash e = M$ and M/e is graphic. A matroid M is *framed* if it has a basis V such that, for each element $e \in E(M)$, there is a subset W of V with at most two elements such that $e \in \mathrm{cl}_M(W)$. A *frame matroid* is a restriction of a framed matroid. It is proved in [1] that frame matroids and lifted-graphic matroids are quasi-graphic. It is also proved in [1] that if M is a representable, 3-connected, quasi-graphic matroid, then M is either a frame matroid or a lifted-graphic matroid. However, for non-representable matroids this is very far from the case.

Frame matroids and lifted-graphic matroids were introduced by Zaslavsky [5] from the perspective of biased graphs [4]. We will need that perspective for this paper so we recall material from [4, 5] now. A *theta graph* is a graph that consists of a pair of vertices joined by three internally disjoint paths. A connected 2-regular graph is a *cycle*. A collection $\mathcal{B}$ of cycles of a graph G satisfies the *theta property* of no theta subgraph of G contains exactly two members of $\mathcal{B}$. A *biased graph* consists of a pair $(G, \mathcal{B})$, where G is a graph and $\mathcal{B}$ is a collection of cycles of G satisfying the theta property. If $(G, \mathcal{B})$ is a biased graph, then the members of $\mathcal{B}$ are called *balanced cycles*, otherwise cycles of G are called *unbalanced*.

For a set A of edges of a graph G, let $G[A]$ be the subgraph with edge set A and vertex set consisting of all vertices incident with an edge in A. Zaslavsky [5] defines two matroids associated with a biased graph $(G, \mathcal{B})$. In the first, denoted $\mathrm{LM}(G, \mathcal{B})$, a subset I of $E(G)$ is independent if and only if $G[I]$ contains no balanced cycle and at most one cycle. In the second, denoted $\mathrm{FM}(G, \mathcal{B})$, a subset I of $E(G)$ is independent if and only if $G[I]$ contains no balanced cycle and every component of $G[I]$ contains at most one cycle. The next theorem follows from work of Zaslavsky [5].

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a matroid.*

(i) M is a lifted-graphic matroid if and only if there exists a biased graph $(G, \mathcal{B})$ such that $M = \mathrm{LM}(G, \mathcal{B})$.

(ii) M is a frame-matroid if and only if there exists a biased graph $(G, \mathcal{B})$ such that $M = FM(G, \mathcal{B})$.

Finally we note that, if $M = \mathrm{LM}(G, \mathcal{B})$ or $M = \mathrm{FM}(G, \mathcal{B})$ for some biased graph $(G, \mathcal{B})$, then G is a framework for M.

In [1] it is also conjectured that, unlike lifted-graphic and frame matroids, the property of being a quasi-graphic matroid can be recognised with a polynomial number of rank evaluations. Given the results of this paper it is clear that this conjecture is the more natural extension of a theorem of Seymour [3] where he proves that graphic matroids can be recognised with a polynomial number of rank evaluations.

2 Relaxations and tightenings

Recall that a *circuit-hyperplane* of a matroid M is a set C that is both a circuit and a hyperplane. It is well known, see for example [2, Proposition 1.5.14], that if C is a circuit-hyperplane of M, then $\mathcal{B}(M) \cup \{C\}$ is the set of bases of a matroid M'. In this case we say that M' is obtained from M by *relaxing* the circuit-hyperplane C.

Relaxation will be an important operation for us, but we will also need the reverse operation, which is no doubt well understood, but does not seem to appear in the literature.

Let B be a basis of a matroid M. If the closure of each proper subset of B is itself, then we say that B is *free*. Observe that, if B is a free basis, then for each $e \in B$ and $f \in E(M) - B$ the set $B - e + f$ is a basis of M.

Lemma 2.1. *Let B be a free basis of a matroid M. Then $\mathcal{B}(M) - \{B\}$ is the set of bases of a matroid.*

Proof. Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(M) - \{B\}$ and $e \in B_1 - B_2$. Then there is $f \in B_2 - B_1$ satisfying $B_1 - \{e\} + \{f\} \in \mathcal{B}(M)$. Assume that $B = B_1 - \{e\} + \{f\}$. Then $\mathrm{cl}_M(B_1 - \{e\}) = B_1 - \{e\}$. Since $B_2 - \{f\} \neq B_1 - \{e\}$ as $B_2 \neq B$, there is an element $f' \in B_2 - (B_1 \cup \{f\})$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{cl}_M(B_1 - \{e\}) = B_1 - \{e\}$, we have $B_1 - \{e\} + \{f'\} \in \mathcal{B}(M) - \{B\}$. □

We say that the matroid $(E(M), \mathcal{B}(M) - \{B\})$ given in Lemma 2.1 is obtained from M by *tightening the free basis* B. Evidently, tightening is the reverse operation of relaxation. The following results are obvious and will be used in the next section without reference.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M' be a matroid obtained from a matroid M by tightening a free basis B . Then $r_{M'}(X) = r_M(X)$ for each $B \neq X \subseteq E(M)$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let M' be a matroid obtained from a matroid M by relaxing a circuit-hyperplane C . Then $r_{M'}(X) = r_M(X)$ for each $C \neq X \subseteq E(M)$.*

3 Proof of the main theorems

In this section we give proofs of the following theorems, which, as observed earlier, are slight strengthenings of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 3.1. *For any polynomial $p(\cdot)$ there is a frame matroid M such that for any set $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $E(M)$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq p(|E(M)|)$ there is a quasi-graphic non-frame matroid M' such that $E(M') = E(M)$ and $r_{M'}(A) = r_M(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Theorem 3.2. *For any polynomial $p(\cdot)$ there is a lifted-graphic matroid M such that for any set $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $E(M)$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq p(|E(M)|)$ there is a quasi-graphic non-lifted-graphic matroid M' such that $E(M') = E(M)$ and $r_{M'}(A) = r_M(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Let G be a graph. Recall that a cycle C is *chordless* if there is no edge in $E(G) - C$ that joins vertices of C. Two cycles are *disjoint* if they do not share a common vertex. We say that the pair C, C' of cycles is a *covering pair* if every vertex of G is in either C or C'. Our interest will focus on covering pairs of disjoint chordless cycles.

For the remainder of this paper we focus on biased graphs all of whose cycles are unbalanced, that is, biased graphs of the form $(G, \emptyset)$. We omit the elementary proofs of the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a graph and let $\{C, C'\}$ be a covering pair of disjoint chordless cycles of G. Then the following hold.*

(i) $C \cup C'$ is a circuit-hyperplane of the lift matroid $\mathrm{LM}(G, \emptyset)$.

(ii) $C \cup C'$ is a free basis of the frame matroid $\mathrm{FM}(G, \emptyset)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a graph and let $\{C, C'\}$ be a covering pair of disjoint chordless cycles of G. Then the following hold.*

(i) G is a framework for the matroid obtained by relaxing the circuit-hyperplane $C \cup C'$ of $\mathrm{LM}(G, \emptyset)$.

(ii) G is a framework for the matroid obtained by tightening the free basis $C \cup C'$ of $\mathrm{FM}(G, \emptyset)$.

Next we define the family of graphs that we will use to prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Let $n \geq 4$ be an even number. Let G_n be the graph with $V(G_n) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n,\ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $E(G_n) = E(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup C_4)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n u_1, \\ C_2 &= v_1 v_2 \ldots v_n v_1, \\ C_3 &= u_1 v_1 u_3 v_3 u_5 \ldots v_{n-3} u_{n-1} v_{n-1} u_1, \\ C_4 &= u_2 v_2 u_4 v_4 u_6 \ldots v_{n-2} u_n v_n u_2. \end{aligned}$$

are cycles of G_n. See Figure 1. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq n$, set $e_i = u_i v_i$ and $f_{i,i+2} = v_i u_{i+2}$, where the subscripts are modulo n. We say that $(e_{i+1}, f_{i,i+2})$ is a



Figure 1: the graph G_8.

crossing pair. Note that the two edges contained in a crossing pair are disjoint and each edge in $C_3 \cup C_4$ belongs to exactly one crossing pair. Let X be the set whose elements are the crossing pairs of G_n. Let X' be the set of all subsets of X with even size. Observe that $|X| = n$, we have $|X'| = 2^{n-1}$. Let

$$S = \{(e_{i_1+1}, f_{i_1,i_1+2}), (e_{i_2+1}, f_{i_2,i_2+2}), \ldots, (e_{i_{2k}+1}, f_{i_{2k},i_{2k}+2})\}$$

be an element in X' with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_{2k} \leq n$. There is a unique pair of disjoint cycles C_S^1 and C_S^2 such that the set of edges in crossing pairs in S is equal to $E(C_3 \cup C_4) \cap E(C_S^1 \cup C_S^2)$ and with

$$\begin{aligned} \{e_{i_1+1}, f_{i_2,i_2+2}, e_{i_3+1}, \ldots, f_{i_{2k},i_{2k}+2}\} &\subset C_S^1, \\ \{f_{i_1,i_1+2}, e_{i_2+1}, f_{i_3,i_3+2}, \ldots, e_{i_{2k}+1}\} &\subset C_S^2. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 2. For example, when S contains all crossing pairs, $C_S^1 = C_3, C_S^2 = C_4$. When $S = \emptyset$, we have $C_S^1 = C_1, C_S^2 = C_2$.

It follows from routine inspection that, for each $S \in X'$, the pair $\{C_S^1, C_S^2\}$ is a



Figure 2: the disjoint cycles C_S^1 and C_S^2.

covering pair of disjoint chordless cycles of G_n and C_S^1, C_S^2 are of the same length n. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the set of edge sets of all covering pairs of disjoint chordless cycles obtained from X' in the above way. Then $|\mathcal{Z}| = 2^{n-1}$. By Lemma 3.4 each member of $\mathcal{Z}$ is a circuit hyperplane of $\mathrm{LM}(G_n, \emptyset)$ and a free basis of $\mathrm{FM}(G_n, \emptyset)$.

To distinguish $LM(G_n, \emptyset)$ from the matroid obtained by relaxing a member Z of $\mathcal{Z}$ we need to check the rank of Z and to distinguish $FM(G_n, \emptyset)$ from the matroid obtained by tightening Z we also need to check the rank of Z. To distinguish $\mathrm{LM}(G_n, \emptyset)$ or $\mathrm{FM}(G_n, \emptyset)$ from all such matroids we need to check the rank of 2^{n-1} subsets. Evidently 2^{n-1} outgrows any polynomial function.

Say $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Let $M_L = LM(G_n, \emptyset)$ and let $M_F = FM(G_n, \emptyset)$. Let M_L^Z and M_F^Z be the matroids obtained from M_L and M_F by respectively relaxing and tightening Z. To complete the proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 it suffices to show that M_L^Z is not a lift matroid and M_F^Z is not a frame matroid. We now turn attention to this task.

Each cycle of G_n in independent in M_L^Z and M_F^Z. The next lemma follows from this observation and inspection of the graph G_n.

Lemma 3.5. *Let g and h be distinct edges in $E(G_n) - Z$.*

(i) If g and h are adjacent in G_n, there is a partition (P_1, P_2, P_3) of Z with $|P_1| = 2$ with $|P_1 \cup P_2| = |P_3| = n$, and such that $(Z - P_i) \cup \{g, h\}$ is a circuit of M_L^Z and M_F^Z for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

(ii) If g and h are not adjacent in G_n, then there is a partition (P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4) of Z with $|P_1 \cup P_2| = |P_3 \cup P_4| = n$ such that $(Z - P_i) \cup \{g, h\}$ is a circuit of M_L^Z and M_F^Z for each $1 \leq i \leq 4$;

(iii) except the circuits in (a) and (b), there is no other circuit C of M_L^Z or M_F^Z satisfying $\{g, h\} \subseteq C \subseteq Z \cup \{g, h\}$.

Since $G_n[E(G_n) - Z]$ is a 2-regular graph, by Lemma 3.5, we have

Lemma 3.6. *There are exactly $2n$ pairs of edges g, h in $E(G_n) - Z$ such that there is a partition (P_1, P_2, P_3) of Z with $|P_1| = 2$ with $|P_1 \cup P_2| = |P_3| = n$, and such that $(F - P_i) \cup \{g, h\}$ is a circuit of M_L^Z and M_F^Z for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$*

Lemma 3.7. *Let G' be a framework for M_F^Z or M_L^Z. Then G' is a 4-regular graph with $2n$ vertices and without loops.*

Proof. Evidently, $|V(G')| = 2n$. Since each cocircuit in M_F^Z or M_L^Z has at least four elements and $|E(G')| = 4n$, the graph G' is a 4-regular graph without loops. □

Let C be an even cycle of a graph H, and $e = uv$ be an edge in $E(H) - E(C)$ with $u, v \in V(C)$. If the two paths in C joining u, v have the same length, then e is a *bisector* of C.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(G', \mathcal{B}')$ be a biased graph satisfying $M_F^Z = FM(G', \mathcal{B}')$. Then $G'[Z]$ is not a cycle.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that Z is a cycle of G'. Since $|Z| = 2n$, we have $V(G') = V(G'[Z])$. Since $Z \in \mathcal{C}(M_F^Z)$, we have $Z \in \mathcal{B}'$. Let $g, h \in E(G_n) - Z$. since Z is a circuit-hyperplane of M_F^Z and $G'[Z]$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{B}'$, except Z each cycle in $G'[Z \cup \{g\}]$ and $G'[Z \cup \{h\}]$ are not in $\mathcal{B}'$. When g, h are adjacent in G', not assuming g and h adjacent in G_n by Lemma 3.5 the unique cycle containing $\{g, h\}$ in $G'[Z \cup \{g, h\}]$ is not in $\mathcal{B}'$; hence, there is a partition (P_1, P_2, P_3) of Z such that $(Z - P_i) \cup \{g, h\}$ is a circuit of M_F^Z for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Moreover, since $G'[E(G_n) - Z]$ is a 2-regular graph with exactly $2n$ vertices, by Lemma 3.6, we have that (a) when g, h are not adjacent in G' there is a partition (P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4) of Z with $|P_1 \cup P_2| = |P_3 \cup P_4| = n$ and such that $(Z - P_i) \cup \{g, h\}$ is a circuit of M_F^Z for each $1 \leq i \leq 4$; and (b) for each $v \in V(G')$, assuming that $\{e, e'\}$ is the set of edges adjacent with v but not in Z, the unique cycle C containing $\{e, e'\}$ in $G'[Z \cup \{e, e'\}]$ has exactly four edges and either e or e' is a bisector of the cycle Z. Assume that e' is a bisector of Z and u is the unique vertex in C not adjacent with e or e'. Let f be the bisector of Z adjacent with u. By the arbitrary choice of v and (b) such f exists. Since $\mathrm{si}(G'[Z \cup \{e, f\}])$ is a 4-edge cycle and f is diameter of Z, (a) can not hold, a contradiction. □

Similarly (in fact, in a simpler way), we can prove

Lemma 3.9. *Let $(G', \mathcal{B}')$ be a biased graph satisfying $M_L^Z = LM(G', \mathcal{B}')$. Then $G'[Z]$ is not a cycle.*

Lemma 3.10. *M_F^Z is a non-frame matroid.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a biased graph $(G', \mathcal{B}')$ such that $M_F^Z = FM(G', \mathcal{B}')$. Since $Z \in \mathcal{C}(M_F^Z)$, by Lemma 3.8 the graph $G'[Z]$ is a theta-graph

or a handcuff. Hence, $|V(G'[Z])| = 2n - 1$ as $|Z| = 2n$. Moreover, since Z is a circuit-hyperplane of M_F^Z, we have $g \notin \mathrm{cl}_{M_F}(Z)$ for each $g \in E(G_n) - Z$; so all edges in $E(G_n) - Z$ are adjacent with the unique vertex in $V(G') - V(G'[Z])$. Hence, G' is not 4-regular as $|E(G_n) - Z| = 2n \geq 8$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.11. *M_L^Z is a non-lifted-graphic matroid.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a biased graph $(G', \mathcal{B}')$ satisfying $M_L^Z = LM(G', \mathcal{B}')$. Since Z is a basis of M_L^Z and $G'[Z]$ is not a cycle by Lemma 3.9, the graph $G'[Z]$ has degree-1 vertices and all edges in $E(G') - Z$ must be adjacent with all degree-1 vertices in $G'[Z]$, so G' is not 4-regular, a contradiction to Lemma 3.7. □

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 now follow from the fact that G_n has exponentially many covering pairs of disjoint chordless cycles, that the matroids obtained by relaxing the circuit-hyperplane of $\mathrm{LM}(G_n, \emptyset)$ or tightening the free basis of $\mathrm{FM}(G_n, \emptyset)$ associated with any one of these chordless cycles is quasi-graphis, and Lemmas 3.11 and 3.10 which show that these matroids are respectively not lifted-graphic and not frame matroids.

References

[1] J. Geelen, B. Gerards, G. Whittle, Quasi-graphic matroids, in arXiv:1512.03005v1 (2015).

[2] J. Oxley, *Matroid theory, second edition.*, Oxford University Press, New York, (2011).

[3] P. Seymour, Recognizing graphic matroids, Combinatorica 1, 75-78 (1981).

[4] T. Zaslavsky, Biased graphs. I. Bias, balanced, and gains. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 47, 32-52 (1989).

[5] T. Zaslavsky, Biased graphs. II. The three matroids. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 51, 46-72 (1991).